Basaloid squamous cell carcinoma of the oral cavity: A case series
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Basaloid squamous cell carcinoma (BSCC) has been reported as a highly aggressive, malignant tumor with a high rate of both local recurrence and regional and distant metastases. The purpose of this review is to examine the characteristics and prognosis of BSCC occurring in the oral cavity. Case Series: We here present three cases of oral BSCC. Case 1: A 49-year-old male was clinically and radiologically classified as T3NoMo (Stage III).

He underwent chemoradiotherapy and more than 8 years after treatment, the patient is alive without evidence of recurrence. Case 2: A 69-year-old female was classified as T4aN2bMo (Stage IVA). She underwent chemoradiotherapy, and died from lung and liver metastasis 4 years and 3 months after her first visit. Case 3: A 73-year-old male was clinically and radiologically classified as T2N2cMo (Stage IVA). The patient underwent chemoradiotherapy and bilateral neck dissection. More than about 5 years after surgery, the patient is alive without evidence of recurrence. Discussion: About a hundred cases of oral BSCC have been reported, and we review previous reports of oral BSCC cases. Oral BSCC has a lower rate of distant metastasis than head and neck BSCC. Conclusion: In contrast to the aggressive behavior of BSCC occurring in the head and neck, BSCC occurring in the oral cavity appears to have a lower rate of distant metastasis than head and neck BSCC. When it comes to the follow-up of patients for metastases, a more thorough work-up is recommended for patients with oral BSCC than for patients with SCC.
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INTRODUCTION

Basaloid squamous cell carcinoma (BSCC), first described in the head and neck by Wain et al. in 1986 [1], is a rare subtype of squamous cell carcinoma (SCC). In the upper aerodigestive tract, BSCC occurs most frequently at the base of the tongue, the larynx, and the hypopharynx [1–3]. The neoplasm is composed chiefly of basaloid cells with typical foci of squamous differentiation, and the basaloid cells have dark hyperchromatic nuclei and scant cytoplasm. The neoplasm occasionally shows peripheral cellular palisading, comedonecrosis, and intratumoral cystic spaces [4].

Head and neck BSCC, which is considered to be a highly aggressive malignant tumor, is characterized by a high rate of both local recurrence and regional and distant metastases [2, 3, 5]. Recently, some studies have reported relatively large numbers of patients who presented with head and neck BSCC, and have attempted to determine whether their outcomes differed from the outcomes of patients with similar presentations of common SCC [6, 7].

In the oral cavity, BSCC has a predilection for the tongue [2, 4, 8], although it has been described in other locations including the floor of the mouth [2, 9], the palate [5, 10], the buccal mucosa [11] and the gingiva [12]. The prognosis of oral BSCC is reported to be worse than that of conventional SCC due to the advanced stage at which cases have been discovered [13]. However, it has also been reported that the prognosis of oral BSCC does not differ from that of conventional SCC [8]. About a hundred cases of oral BSCC have been reported, but almost all of the sample sizes have been too small to summarize its behavior and prognosis [2, 4, 5, 8–18]. Since the incidence of oral BSCC is low, studies encompassing oral BSCC have often included cases of BSCC originating in the head and neck, which precludes reliable findings on the clinical behavior of oral BSCC specifically. In this article, we report three cases of oral BSCC and review previous reports of oral BSCC cases.

CASE SERIES

Case 1: A 49-year-old Japanese male was referred to the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery at Tsukuba University Hospital with a 3-month history of a mass lesion in his left soft palate. He had a medical history of gout. Examination of the oral cavity revealed a red, irregular granulation-like mass in the soft palate towards the retromandibular region (Figure 1). The regional lymph nodes were normal. A chest X-ray revealed no lung metastasis. An incisional biopsy performed under local anesthesia was histopathologically diagnosed as BSCC. Microscopic examination showed epithelial-like and basaloid tumor cells with an alveolar architecture and peripheral palisading, hyperchromatic nuclei with a high nuclear/cytoplasmic (N/C) ratio, and frequent mitosis (Figure 2). The patient was clinically and radiologically

Figure 1: Intra-oral tumor appearance (Case 1). Examination of the oral cavity revealed a red, irregular, granulation-like mass in the soft palate towards the retromandibular space.

Figure 2 (A and B): Pathological appearance (Case 1). Microscopic examination showed alveolar architecture and peripheral palisading formed by epithelial-like and basaloid tumor cells. These cells showed hyperchromatic nuclei with a high N/C ratio and frequent mitosis. Magnification: (A) x100, (B) x400.
classified as T3NoMo (Stage III). The patient underwent chemoradiotherapy with a total delivered dose of 66.6 Gy along with 5-fluorouracil and cisplatin or nedaplatin. More than eight years after radiotherapy, the patient is alive without evidence of recurrence.

**Case 2:** A 69-year-old Japanese female was referred to the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery at Tsukuba University Hospital with a 4-month history of difficulty of moving her tongue. Her medical history included gallstone disease, nephrosclerosis, and cataract. Examination of the oral cavity revealed a 25-mm diameter mass in the right side of the dorsum towards the root of the tongue (Figure 3). The regional lymph nodes were normal. A chest X-ray revealed no lung metastasis. An incisional biopsy was performed under local anesthesia. Although microscopic examination showed partial adenoid cystic differentiation, epithelial-like and basaloid tumor cells had formed an alveolar and palisading architecture, and the tissue was histopathologically diagnosed as BSCC (Figure 4). The patient was clinically and radiologically classified as T4aNoMo (Stage IVA). She refused radical surgery and underwent chemoradiotherapy with a total delivered X-ray dose of 52.2 Gy and proton beam dose of 27.6 Gy, along with 5-fluorouracil and nedaplatin. This met with only a partial clinical response, but she refused more radical surgery. Three and a half years later, both regrowth of the primary tumor and metastasis to the lung and liver were observed. The patient died from lung and liver metastasis 4 years and 3 months after her first visit.

**Case 3:** A 73-year-old Japanese male was referred to the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery at Tsukuba University Hospital with a 3-month history of mass lesion in the left floor of his mouth. He had a medical history of hypertension and bronchial asthma. Examination of the oral cavity revealed an irregular granulation-like 22x15 mm mass in the floor of the mouth (Figure 5). Both computed tomography (CT) scan and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan showed swelling of the submandibular lymph nodes on both sides. Lung metastasis was not detected in the chest X-ray. An incisional biopsy performed under local anesthesia was histopathologically diagnosed as BSCC (Figure 6). Microscopic examination showed epithelial-like and basaloid tumor cells forming a lobulate or papillary alveolar architecture. These cells showed peripheral palisading along with hyperchromatic, high N/C ratio nuclei and frequent mitosis. The patient was clinically and radiologically classified as T2N2cMo (Stage IVA). The patient underwent chemoradiotherapy with a delivered total dose of 69 Gy along with 5-fluorouracil, docetaxel, and nedaplatin. The primary response was complete, but with remaining lymphadenopathy. A bilateral neck dissection was performed. More than about 5 years after surgery, the patient is alive without evidence of recurrence.

---

Figure 3: Intra-oral tumor appearance (Case 2). Examination of the oral cavity revealed a 25-mm diameter mass in the right side of the dorsum towards the root of the tongue.

Figure 4 (A and B): Pathological appearance (Case 2). Although partial A and B adenoid cystic differentiation was present, epithelial-like and basaloid tumor cells formed palisading and alveolar architecture. Magnification: (A) x100, (B) x400.
DISCUSSION

The BS CC has been described mostly among men in their sixth to seventh decade, and has been associated with tobacco and alcohol abuse. Most instances of BS CC present at a high tumor stage and seem to have a propensity for lymph-node and systemic metastases [7, 19]. These reports agree with our summary of previously reported oral cases, in which 72.5% of the patients were male and 27.5% were female, and the mean age was 61.4 years. Over 60% presented with a tumor stage greater than III (Tables 1 and 2).

Macroscopically, BS CCs are typically firm-to-hard exophytic nodular masses with central necrosis. The BS CC cells are referred to as basaloid because of their immature appearance, and they resemble cells of the basal layer of typical stratified squamous epithelium [19], BS CC has two distinct phenotypes: basaloid and squamous. The most common BS CC growth pattern is solid nests with a typical cell population, basaloid at the periphery and squamous at the center.

In the oral cavity, the differential diagnosis of BS CC principally includes adenoid cystic carcinoma, polymorphous low grade adenocarcinoma (PLGA), basal cell adenocarcinoma (BCA), salivary duct carcinoma (SDC), and adenosquamous carcinoma (ASC) [13]. BS CC can be mistaken for solid ACC due to pseudogland formations with hyalinized and eosinophilic deposits. However, ACC is not associated with SCC. The cytologic and histomorphologic characteristics of solid-type ACC are quite similar to those of BS CC. Short-term ACC biologic behavior is less aggressive than that of BS CC; regional lymph node metastases are infrequent at the initial presentation, and distant metastases develop late in the follow-up [6]. Ide et al. reported the following helpful features in differentiating BS CC from solid ACC:

1. Classic cribriform patterns are limited, when present, in BS CC
2. Even in the solid type of ACC, well-formed tubular structures are almost always present, while nuclear pleomorphism, mitoses, and necrosis are rare
3. Focal squamous differentiation in the basaloid nests is only rarely evident in ACC, and most importantly
4. ACC does not contain SCC foci or exhibit carcinomatous changes in the surface epithelium [13].

Many authors have reported that head and neck BS CC is more aggressive than SCC, whereas others have found similar prognoses for BS CC and SCC at similar stages. Soriano’s study showed fewer locoregional failure-related deaths for BS CC, while the rates for distant metastases and overall survival were worse. The distant metastasis rates were 41% and 6% for BS CC and SCC, respectively [3]. Therefore, the authors recommended routinely performing a chest CT scan and FDG-PET to rule out early distant metastasis, and the inclusion of adjuvant chemotherapy in the treatment protocol. In that study, the treatment opinions were based on tumor site and stage and patient characteristics, and not on the histological subtypes currently used in routine practice. In most case studies
Table 1: Review of literatures for characteristics and prognosis of oral BSCC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year of publication</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Sex ratio (M/F)</th>
<th>Mean age (Range)</th>
<th>Primary tumor site</th>
<th>Tumor stage</th>
<th>Neck lymph node metastasis</th>
<th>Distant metastasis</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case control and review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ide</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>19/15</td>
<td>61 (39–83)</td>
<td>Tongue 28, Floor of mouth 13, Palate 3, Buccal mucosa 1, Gingiva 1</td>
<td>Stage I 4, Stage II 9, Stage III 9, Stage IV 12</td>
<td>17 6</td>
<td>13 18 3</td>
<td>Surgery 31, Radiotherapy 18, Chemotherapy 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Sampaio Goes</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15/2</td>
<td>59 (43–77)</td>
<td>Floor of mouth 9, Tongue 4, Gingiva 4 Tongue 4, Maxillary sinus 4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>12 4</td>
<td>12 4 1</td>
<td>Surgery 9, Radiotherapy 15, Chemotherapy 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yu</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14/0</td>
<td>58.2 (43–70)</td>
<td>Gingiva 3, Floor of mouth 2, Mandible 1</td>
<td>Stage II 4, Stage III 1, Stage IV 9</td>
<td>6 2</td>
<td>8 6 0</td>
<td>Surgery 14, Radiotherapy 8,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case series</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altavilla</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3/0</td>
<td>61.7 (53–75)</td>
<td>Floor of mouth 1, Palate 1, Gingiva 1</td>
<td>Stage I 1, Stage III 2 Stage I 1, Stage II 2, Stage IV 3</td>
<td>2 0</td>
<td>1 2 0</td>
<td>Surgery 3, Radiotherapy 3,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paulino</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>63.3 (43–85)</td>
<td>Tongue 3, Floor of mouth 2, Palate 1</td>
<td>Stage III 2 Stage I 1, Stage II 2, Stage IV 3</td>
<td>2 0</td>
<td>2 4 0</td>
<td>Surgery 4, Radiotherapy 3, Chemotherapy 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coletta</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>60.5 (58–63)</td>
<td>Buccal mucosa 2 Floor of mouth 2, Gingiva 1, Tongue 1</td>
<td>Stage III 2 Stage I 1, Stage II 1, Stage IV 2</td>
<td>1 0</td>
<td>1 1 0</td>
<td>Radiotherapy 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kunkel</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>58 (42–68)</td>
<td>Palate 3, Tongue 1, Floor of mouth 1</td>
<td>Stage I 2, Stage I 2, Stage I 2, Stage I 2</td>
<td>2 0</td>
<td>3 3 0</td>
<td>Surgery 3, ND 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ereno</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5/1</td>
<td>64.1 (54–72)</td>
<td>Palate 3, Tongue 1, Floor of mouth 1,</td>
<td>Stage I 2, Stage I 2, Stage I 2, Stage I 2</td>
<td>2 0</td>
<td>3 3 0</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ISN - [0976-3198]
| Case     | Year | Stage | Age | Tumor Site               | Stage  | Stage  | Stage  | Stage  | Stage  | Stage  | Stage  | Stage  | Stage  | Stage  |
|----------|------|-------|-----|--------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Karatzanis | 2008 | 1     | 1/0 | 68                       | Palate | 1      |       | 0      | 0      | 0      | 1      | 0      |       |        | Surgery, Radiotherapy |
| Campos   | 2009 | 1     | 1/0 | 59                       | Gingiva| 1      |       | 0      | 0      | 0      | 1      | 0      |       |        | Surgery, Radiotherapy |
| Joshi    | 2009 | 1     | 0/1 | 51                       | Floor of mouth | 1   |       | 0      | 0      | 0      | 1      | 0      |       |        | Surgery, Radiotherapy |
| Hirai    | 2009 | 2     | 2/0 | 60 (55–65)               | Gingiva| 2      |       | 0      | 0      | 0      | 2      | 0      |       |        | Surgery, Radiotherapy |
| Subramanian | 2009 | 1     | 0/1 | 72                       | Gingiva| 1      |       | 0      | 0      | 0      | 1      | 0      |       |        | Surgery, Radiotherapy |
| Present case | 2011 | 3     | 2/1 | 63.5 (49–73)             | Tongue, Floor of mouth, Palate | 1      | 1      | 1      | 2      | 0      |       |        | Surgery, Radiotherapy |

Abbreviations: ND, not described
of BSCC the primary treatment modality was surgery, with or without adjuvant radiotherapy [19]. In Soriano’s series, the survival rates differed significantly between the case and control groups despite similar treatment modalities [3]. Survival rates for T1 and T2 cases were 64% for BSCC, and 86% for SCC. Similarly, the survival rates for T3 and T4 cases were 57% for BSCC, and 85%, for SCC. On the other hand, in a homogenous series of node-positive patients treated with chemoradiotherapy with or without neck dissection, the local control rates were similar. These data may suggest that BSCC has relatively good radiosensitivity in spite of its high rate of metastasis and poor survival rates [19].

The comparative analysis of the clinical course and prognoses of BSCC and conventional SCC has aroused controversy in literature. Although some authors have observed that BSCC is more aggressive than SCC, others suggest that the two have similar prognoses [2, 3, 5]. It is difficult to compare the biological features and clinical course of oral BSCC and conventional oral SCC in groups of matched patients. Three case-control studies comparing clinical and prognostic features in patients with BSCC included poorly differentiated and moderately to well-differentiated SCC of the oral cavity [4, 8, 20]. Yu et al. reported that the rate of cervical lymph node metastasis of BSCC was as high as 67%, and that the rate of distant metastasis was 13% [4]. Radical surgeries were performed in all patients in that study; the tumor recurrence rate was 33%, and the 5-year survival rate was 32%. The researchers concluded that the biological behavior and prognosis of BSCC was similar to that of poorly differentiated SCC. Another case-control study reported a 5-year survival rate of 46% for oral BSCC [8, 20], and concluded that prognoses did not differ between patients with BSCC and those with conventional SCC. These studies support the idea that oral BSCC has a similar, rather than worse, behavior and prognosis in comparison to conventional SCC.

A summary of clinical characteristics previously reported for oral BSCC cases is presented in Tables 1 and 2. Clinical stages were classified as stage I for 12 patients (15.4%), stage II for 20 (25.6%), stage III for 16
(20.5%), and stage IV for 30 (38.5%); thus, 59.0% of the reported cases presented at an advanced stage (stage III and stage IV). The incidence rate of local nodal metastasis was 46.3%, and that of distant metastasis was 13.7%. Patients were treated with surgery (71), radiotherapy (57), or chemotherapy (16) performed alone or combined with other therapies. The outcome for 43.2% of these patients was death; 52.6% patients survived and the survival was not reported for 4.2%. Although almost 60% of the patients presented with oral BSCC at an advanced clinical stage, metastasis was controlled well in 52.6%, local nodal metastasis was seen in 46.3%, and distant metastasis was seen in 13.7%. These results agree with the prognosis results reported by Yu et al. that BSCC in the oral cavity does not carry a worse prognosis than conventional SCC [4].

A more thorough work-up should be advocated for patients with BSCC than for patients with SCC [3, 7]. One study reported a 5-year actuarial distant metastasis rate of 29% for a head and neck BSCC cohort; the most common site of metastasis was the lung [7]. Another study reported a distant metastasis rate of 41% for BSCC; therefore, the authors recommended routinely performing a chest CT scan and FDG-PET to rule out early distant metastasis, and to include adjuvant chemotherapy in the treatment protocol [3]. A comparison of the course and prognosis of head and neck BSCC or SCC found that the survival rate was significantly higher for patients with SCC than for patients with BSCC. The rate of distant metastasis was six times higher in cases of BSCC [3]. Our summary of oral BSCC case reports showed an incidence of distant metastasis of 13.7%, which is less than has been reported for head and neck BSCC cases as a whole (Tables 1 and 2). Chemotherapy has been recommended as an adjuvant to any treatment protocol for BSCC [19]. Patients should be assessed for oligometastases during follow-up to provide the best opportunity for treatment. Oligometastases, which occur frequently with BSCC, were found to be salvageable with wedge resection or radiotherapy, suggesting that early detection is important [7, 19].

CONCLUSION

Oral BSCC has a lower rate of distant metastasis than head and neck BSCC. In contrast to the aggressive behavior of BSCC occurring in the head and neck, BSCC occurring in the oral cavity appears to have a prognosis almost similar to that of conventional SCC. But sometimes, oral BSCC is more aggressive than conventional SCC. Therefore, when it comes to the follow-up of patients for metastases, a more thorough work-up is recommended for patients with oral BSCC than for patients with SCC.
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