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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Non-compaction cardiomyopathy is a rare, primary, genetic cardiomyopathy that results from intra-uterine arrest of the compaction process. It commonly involves the apical area of the left ventricle, and uncommonly involves the right ventricle. Case Report: A 45-year-old male presented to the hospital for heart failure. He was started on anticoagulation for the apical thrombus and later he suffered cerebrovascular accident. On careful evaluation he was found to have ventricular non-compaction both in right and left ventricles. Conclusion: Non-compaction cardiomyopathy should be considered in all refractory cases of heart failure. Anticoagulation is crucial in patients with decreased systolic function and atrial fibrillation.
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INTRODUCTION

Non-compaction cardiomyopathy is a rare, primary, genetic cardiomyopathy. Human cardiac morphogenesis commences with heart tube formation. Heart tube contains outer myocardial cells, middle acellular cardiac jelly (matrix of proteoglycans and glycosaminoglycans), and outer endocardial cells. During this time myocardial cells form deep trabeculae and intertrabecular recess so that blood percolates into intertrabecular recess in order to supply oxygen to myocardium. Compaction process, epicardial vessel formation and myocardial vascularization start around 5th–6th week of gestation. Compaction proceeds from epicardial to endocardial surface and from the base to apex. Arrest of the cardiac compaction around this time will lead to non-compaction cardiomyopathy [1]. It commonly involves left ventricle. Right ventricular involvement is rare and here we are reporting a case of biventricular involvement.

CASE REPORT

A 45-year-old male presented to our institution for progressively worsening bilateral lower extremity edema, productive cough and generalized weakness that had been present for three weeks. His medical history was significant for recurrent bronchitis, smoking and a remote history of cocaine abuse. His family history was unremarkable for any cardiac disease. On physical
examination, blood pressure was 142/90 mmHg, and heart rate was 109/min.

The patient had marked jugular vein distention, S3 gallop, bilateral lower extremity edema and normal pulmonary examination. The electrocardiogram revealed sinus tachycardia with voltage criteria consistent with left ventricular hypertrophy and repolarization abnormalities (Figure 1). Blood chemistry revealed a serum creatinine of 1.8 mg/dL, blood urea nitrogen of 24 mg/dL, and mild proteinuria. Chest X-ray revealed cardiomegaly and probable left upper lobe infiltrate. A 2D echocardiogram was performed which revealed moderate left ventricular dilatation, severely reduced left ventricular systolic function (estimated ejection fraction of 10-20%) and a large mobile apical thrombus. He was treated with heparin, warfarin, lisinopril, carvedilol, diuretics and digoxin. After achieving therapeutic anticoagulation and a good control of symptoms of heart failure he was discharged with a diagnosis of non-ischemic cardiomyopathy with a referral to a warfarin clinic for anticoagulation monitoring.

He presented to the emergency department 10 days after discharge for worsening of bilateral lower extremity edema. On repeat laboratory work up, his serum creatinine had decreased to 1.19 mg/dL, PT was 14.2 and INR was 1.2. He was admitted to the hospital for worsening heart failure. Next morning, he was found to be unresponsive. A computed tomography (CT) scan of the head failed to reveal any hemorrhage and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of brain revealed a hyper acute embolic cerebrovascular accident (CVA) in the left middle and anterior cerebral artery territory. An interventional neurologist performed thrombectomy and vasodilator therapy. We were consulted for apical thrombus management. We reviewed previous 2D echocardiogram and suspected non-compaction cardiomyopathy because of hypertrabeculations and a spongy appearance of the myocardium.

A transesophageal echocardiogram (TEE) was performed to confirm the diagnosis and for detailed evaluation of the apical thrombus. TEE revealed biventricular non-compaction with marked hypertrabeculations in left and right ventricles and a ratio of the non-compacted to compacted myocardium greater than 2 (Figure 2) [1]. A left ventricular mural thrombus could not be either confirmed or excluded due to large mobile trabeculations. Unfortunately, his post-procedural course was complicated by the development of cerebral edema and a midline shift. He underwent decompressive hemicraniotomy and later suffered multi-system organ failure and was withdrawn from life support at his family’s request.

An autopsy confirmed hypertrabeculations of both right and left ventricular myocardium, and a mural thrombus (Figure 3).

DISCUSSION

Ventricular non-compaction cardiomyopathy (VNC) is a rare, primary, genetic cardiomyopathy characterized

![Figure 1: Sinus tachycardia with voltage criteria consistent with left ventricular hypertrophy and repolarization abnormalities.](image1)

![Figure 2: (A) Four chamber sub costal view showing hypertrabeculations, (B) Apical three chamber view showing hypertrabeculations, (C) Gastric short axis view of TEE showing hypertrabeculations.](image2)

![Figure 3: Autopsy findings of right and left ventricular hypertrabeculations, and a mural thrombus.](image3)
by hyper trabeculations and deep inter trabecular recesses. VNC is secondary to the intra-uterine arrest of the compaction process which occurs during the 5th–8th week of gestation. The prevalence of VNC is reported to be between 0.06–0.24% [2]. VNC commonly involves the apical, mid-lateral, inferior, anterior and septal areas of left ventricle, leading to the name left ventricular non compaction (LVNC). Right ventricular involvement is uncommon [1, 2].

The clinical presentation of LVNC is widely variable and ranges from incidental diagnosis to sudden cardiac death. The most common presentations of VNC are heart failure, arrhythmias and thromboembolic phenomenon respectively [1, 2].

Systolic dysfunction is the primary contributor of heart failure. Even though the exact etiology for systolic dysfunction is not clear, it is postulated that it could be secondary to subendocardial hypoperfusion, and microcirculatory dysfunction. Abnormalities of diastolic function are common, ranging from abnormal ventricular relaxation to restrictive cardiomyopathy [3, 4].

Some studies have shown a correlation between the number of non-compacted segments and the severity of LV dysfunction [5, 6]. In contrast, one relatively large study failed to support the relation between number of non-compacted segments and the global systolic function [3]. It is difficult to compare these studies, as the imaging modalities, LVNC diagnostic criteria, and the average left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) differed in these studies.

The prevalence of atrial fibrillation in LVNC is reported as high as 26% [7]. Along with ventricular dysfunction, atrial fibrillation can worsen heart failure because of the loss of atrial kick and dys synchrony between atrial and ventricular contraction. In addition to atrial fibrillation, left bundle branch block (LBBB) has been described in between 15–44% of the patients [1, 7]. Generally, patients with LBBB have lower ejection fractions when compared to their counterparts. LBBB can also be a contributor to lower ejection fraction and heart failure in (LVNC) patients.

In pediatric patients, a reduced early diastolic velocity at the lateral mitral annulus appears to be a more sensitive predictor for heart failure hospital admission when compared to the LVEF [8]. At this time, we are not sure whether or not we can extrapolate the study results to adult population as the disease spectrum is slightly different in pediatric patients when compared to adults. Even in adults, patients with a higher LVEF tend to be younger [1]. It is critical to identify LVNC and optimize medical management early in the course, since elevated left ventricular filling pressures and hospitalization for heart failure are independently associated with high mortality and the need for cardiac transplantation [1].

Thromboembolic events are common in LVNC. Deep inter trabecular recesses are presumed to be the nidus for thrombus formation [9]. It may be difficult to identify small mural thrombi in LVNC due to the presence of large, mobile trabeculations. In our case, while the initial transthoracic echocardiogram identified a left ventricular apical thrombus, we were not able to visualize a clear thrombus on trans-esophageal echocardiogram. The final autopsy revealed a small mural thrombus.

Left ventricular non-compaction by itself may not be an independent risk factor for thromboembolic events and the increased risk may be related to severe systolic dysfunction. All LVNC patients with systolic dysfunction should be anticoagulated [9, 10]. Patients with associated atrial fibrillation are at high risk for having peripheral embolic events and should be anticoagulated irrespective of their CHADS2 score index.

It is critical not only to initiate warfarin but also to maintain the INR in therapeutic range. Newer oral anticoagulation agents may reduce the likelihood of sub-therapeutic anticoagulation, and have proven to be effective in patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation. These agents could potentially be used in the management of atrial fibrillation in patients with LVNC, but their efficacy in the prevention of left ventricular mural thrombi is still unproven.

**CONCLUSION**

Ventricular non-compaction cardiomyopathy should be considered in the differential diagnosis of refractory heart failure.
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